

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC
Abbas Kazerounian, Esq. [SBN: 249203]
ak@kazlg.com
245 Fischer Avenue, Unit D1
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
Telephone: (800) 400-6808
Facsimile: (800) 520-5523

BANKRUPTCY LAW CENTER, APC
Ahren A. Tiller, Esq. [SBN 250608]
ahren.tiller@blc-sd.com
1230 Columbia Street, Suite 1100
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 894-8831
Facsimile: (866) 444-7026

HYDE & SWIGART
Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. [SBN: 225557]
2221 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101
San Diego, CA 92108
Telephone: (619) 233-7770
Facsimile: (619) 297-1022

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**JASON DAVID BODIE,
Individually and On Behalf of All
Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

LYFT, INC.,

Defendant.**

CASE NO: '16CV2558 L NLS

CLASS ACTION

**COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
PURSUANT TO THE
TELEPHONE CONSUMER
PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. §
227 ET. SEQ.**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

INTRODUCTION

1. JASON DAVID BODIE (“Plaintiff”), brings this class action complaint for damages, injunctive relief, and any other available legal or equitable remedies,

1 resulting from the illegal actions of LYFT, INC. (“Defendant” or “Lyft”) and its
 2 related entities, subsidiaries and agents, in negligently, knowingly, and/or
 3 willfully contacting Plaintiff on Plaintiff’s cellular telephone, in violation of the
 4 Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.*, (“TCPA”),
 5 thereby invading Plaintiff’s privacy. Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal
 6 knowledge as to himself and his own acts and experiences, and, as to all other
 7 matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by his
 8 attorneys.

9 JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 10 2. This Court has federal question jurisdiction because this case arises out of
 11 violations of federal law. 47 U.S.C. §227(b); *Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC*,
 12 132 S. Ct. 740 (2012).
- 13 3. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of
 14 California pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1391(b), because Plaintiff resides in this
 15 district and Defendant conducts business in the County of San Diego.

16 PARTIES

- 17 4. Plaintiff is an individual citizen and resident of the State of California.
- 18 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that LYFT, INC. is, and
 19 at all times mentioned herein was, a Delaware corporation whose principal place
 20 of business is located in San Francisco, CA. Lyft is, and at all times mentioned
 21 herein was, a corporation and a “person,” as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 22 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times relevant
 23 Defendant conducted business in the State of California and in the County of
 24 San Diego.

25 FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 26 7. October 10, 2016 at approximately 2:25 pm PST, Plaintiff received two text
 27 messages from telephone number 415-408-5865, which belongs to or is used by
 28 Lyft, Inc.

- 1 8. The first of the two text messages sent to Plaintiff instructed him to download
2 the Lyft App to his cellular phone, stating, "Download the Lyft app".
- 3 9. The second unsolicited text message contained a link to download Lyft's app in
4 the Apple App Store, stating, "lyft.com".
- 5 10. Upon information and belief, the SMS text messages were sent using equipment
6 that had the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called using a
7 random or sequential number generator, and to dial such numbers, and was
8 therefore an automatic telephone dialing system ("ATDS") as defined by 47
9 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1).
- 10 11. Upon information and belief, the SMS text messages were sent using equipment
11 that can send a text message to cellular telephone numbers stored as a list or
12 database without human intervention.
- 13 12. The SMS text messages constituted a "telephone solicitation" within the
14 meaning of 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(4) in that they were initiated for the purpose of
15 encouraging the purchase of a good or service.
- 16 13. Plaintiff did not provide prior express written consent to Defendant to send
17 these SMS text messages Plaintiff's cellular telephone, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §
18 227 (b)(1)(A).
- 19 14. Plaintiff did not have an established business relationship with Defendant at the
20 time of these text messages.
- 21 15. Through Defendant's aforementioned conduct, Plaintiff suffered an invasion of
22 a legally protected interest in privacy, which is specifically addressed and
23 protected by the TCPA.
- 24 16. Plaintiff was personally affected by Defendant's aforementioned conduct
25 because Plaintiff was frustrated and distressed that, Defendant interrupted
26 Plaintiff with an unwanted solicitation text message using an ATDS.
- 27 17. Defendant's text messages forced Plaintiff and other similarly situated class
28 members to live without the utility of their cellular phones by occupying their

cellular telephone with one or more unwanted calls, causing a nuisance and lost time.

18. Defendant's text messages to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number was unsolicited by Plaintiff and without Plaintiff's permission.

19. Plaintiff is informed and believes and here upon alleges, that the text messages were sent by Defendant and/or Defendant's agent(s), with Defendant's permission, knowledge, control and for Defendant's economic benefit.

20. These SMS text messages made by Defendant or its agents were sent in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

21. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated (the "Class").

22. Plaintiff represents, and is a member of, the Class, consisting of:

All persons within the United States who received any text messages from Defendant or its agent/s and/or employee/s to said person's cellular telephone made through the use of any automatic telephone dialing system within the four years prior to the filing of the Complaint.

23. Defendant and its employees or agents are excluded from the Class. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in the Class, but believes the Class members number in the several thousands, if not more. Thus, this matter should be certified as a Class action to assist in the expeditious litigation of this matter.

24. Plaintiff and members of the Class were harmed by the acts of Defendant in at least the following ways: Defendant illegally contacted Plaintiff and the Class members via their cellular telephones, thereby causing Plaintiff and the Class members to incur certain cellular telephone charges or reduce cellular telephone

1 time for which Plaintiff and the Class members previously paid, and invading
2 the privacy of Plaintiff and the Class members. Plaintiff and the Class members
3 were damaged thereby.

4 25. This suit seeks only damages and injunctive relief for recovery of economic
5 injury on behalf of the Class and it expressly is not intended to request any
6 recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiff reserves the
7 right to expand the Class definition to seek recovery on behalf of additional
8 persons as warranted as facts are learned in further investigation and discovery.

9 26. The joinder of the Class members is impractical and the disposition of their
10 claims in the Class action will provide substantial benefits both to the parties and
11 to the court.

12 27. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact
13 involved affecting the parties to be represented. The questions of law and fact to
14 the Class predominate over questions which may affect individual Class
15 members, including the following:

- 16 a. Whether, within the four years prior to the filing of the Complaint,
17 Defendant sent any text messages (other than a call made for
18 emergency purposes or made with the prior express written consent of
19 the called party) to Class members using any automatic telephone
20 dialing system to any telephone number assigned to a cellular
21 telephone service;
- 22 b. Whether the text messages were sent for marketing or solicitation
23 purposes, such that they require prior express written consent;
- 24 c. Whether Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby, and
25 the extent of damages for such violation; and
- 26 d. Whether Defendant should be enjoined from engaging in such conduct
27 in the future.

28 28. As a person that received a SMS text message using an automatic telephone

1 dialing system, without Plaintiff's prior express consent, Plaintiff is asserting
2 claims that are typical of the Class. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent
3 and protect the interests of the Class in that Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic
4 to any member of the Class.

5 29. Plaintiff and the members of the Class have all suffered irreparable harm as a
6 result of Defendant's unlawful and wrongful conduct. Absent a class action, the
7 Class will continue to face the potential for irreparable harm. In addition, these
8 violations of law will be allowed to proceed without remedy and Defendant will
9 likely continue such illegal conduct. Because of the size of the individual Class
10 member's claims, few, if any, Class members could afford to seek legal redress
11 for the wrongs complained of herein.

12 30. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling class action claims and
13 claims involving violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act.

14 31. A class action is a superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this
15 controversy. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Defendant to comply
16 with the law. The interest of Class members in individually controlling the
17 prosecution of separate claims against Defendant is small because the maximum
18 statutory damages in an individual action for violation of privacy are minimal.
19 Management of these claims is likely to present significantly fewer difficulties
20 than those presented in many class claims.

21 32. Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby
22 making appropriate final injunctive relief and corresponding declaratory relief
23 with respect to the Class as a whole.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

**NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER
PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.**

33.Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs as though fully stated herein.

34.The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227. *et seq.*

35.As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.*, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).

36.Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

**KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE
CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.**

37.Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs as though fully stated herein.

38.The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.*

39.As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 *et seq.*, Plaintiff and each member of the Class are entitled to treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500.00, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

40.Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting

1 such conduct in the future.

2 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

3 Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court grant Plaintiff and the
4 Class members the following relief against Defendant:

5 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF**
6 **THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.**

7 • As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1),
8 Plaintiff seeks for himself and each Class member \$500.00 in statutory damages,
9 for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).

10 • Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), Plaintiff seeks for himself and the
11 Class injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

12 • Any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

13 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL**
14 **VIOLATION OF THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.**

15 • As a result of Defendant's willful and/or knowing violations of 47 U.S.C. §
16 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for himself and each Class member treble damages, as
17 provided by statute, up to \$1,500.00 for each and every violation, pursuant to 47
18 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

19 • Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), Plaintiff seeks for himself and the
20 Class injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

21 • Any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

TRIAL BY JURY

41. Pursuant to the Seventh Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiffs are entitled to, and demand, a trial by jury.

Dated: October 12, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC

By: /s/ Abbas Kazerounian
Abbas Kazerounian, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff